

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **United States Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVE	NTOR	ATT	ORNEY DOCKET NO.	
67,141,2	164 09 709	798	1KEGAM1		Υ	JA040850	
-			Mm9070641	\neg	EXA	AMINER	_
171, 151, - 1	OF RELIDEE		1101 / L T U W/L 1		(31,1701)	ħ!	
PO BOX 1					ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Fit It A BIVE IS	MA VA 2237	11.5			2884		
					DATE MAILED:	06/11/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

		1 Kegam:		
Office Action Summary	/42 46 4 Examiner			
	M. Budd	Group Art Unit 2834		
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears o				
Period for Reply	7	·		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO I	EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE		
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply. If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, e. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	within the statutory mining within the statutory mining warming with the statutory with within the statutory mining within the statutory within the statutor	mum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. Decome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
	• (
★ This action is FINAL.				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except fo accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 0		ecution as to the merits is closed in		
Disposition of Claims				
Claim(s) 1, 3-14 and 16-26		is/are pending in the application.		
Claim(s) $\frac{1}{4}$ $\frac{3-14}{4}$ and $\frac{16-26}{2}$ Of the above claim(s) $\frac{8-13}{4}$ And $\frac{2}{4}$		is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
□ Claim(e)		is/are allowed.		
7 - '' '' '		is/are rejected.		
□ Claim(s)		is/are objected to.		
☐ Claim(s)				
Application Papers		requirement		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		disapproved.		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	to by the Examiner			
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)				
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-	-(d).		
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:				
□ All □ Some* □ None of the:□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	eived.			
)		
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received	eived in Application No)		
 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rece □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rece 	eived in Application No ave been received			
 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rece □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rece □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents h 	eived in Application No ave been received ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	a))		
 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rece □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rece □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents h in this national stage application from the International Be *Certified copies not received: 	eived in Application No ave been received ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	a))		
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been rece Certified copies of the priority documents have been rece Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents h in this national stage application from the International B 	eived in Application No ave been received ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	a))		
□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rece □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rece □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents h in this national stage application from the International B *Certified copies not received: *Attachment(s)	eived in Application No ave been received ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	a)) 		
 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rece □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rece □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents h in this national stage application from the International Be *Certified copies not received: □ Attachment(s) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) 	eived in Application No ave been received ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	a)) 		

Applicant(s)

Application No.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Application/Control Number: 09/142,464

Page 2

Art Unit: 2834

Applicants traversal of the "lack of unity of invention" holding has been considered. PCT Rule 13.2 does permit, under special circumstances the inclusion of in addition to an independent claim for a product an independent claim to a process for making. This would mean a single (one) method claim. Applicant's application contains 12 method claims, two of which are independent. Further, applicant has not identified any special technical feature that is part of both method and product claims. In addition, the method claim, do not inherently produce the specific structural limit actions found in the apparatus claims. For example, the actual method step, are merely generic. There is not stop of "forming a pair of flat lead terminal having a 'v'- shape at one end. Thus the lack of unity of invention finding is seen as proper and is hereby repeated and made final.

Claims 1, 3-7, 14 and 16-20 are rejected under 35 USC 103 as unpatentable over Ogiso in view of Penny backer. Ogiso, especially fig 16 teaches the resonator, holder, supports, electrodes and connecting layer but uses a 'V'- shaped end rather than a 'U'-shaped end for the support elements. The difference between a U and a V is not seen as patentably significant - merely an ornamental variation. However, the U shape is well known for connection to a piezp electric element as evidenced by Penny backer. Selection from ? Known lead end shapes would be within the skill expected of the row tineer. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide Ogisa with U-Shaped lead ends. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.**Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/142,464

Page 3

Art Unit: 2834

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

BUDD/pj

06/06/01

n